1 2 3 4	Charles S. LiMandri, SBN 110841 Paul M. Jonna, SBN 265389 Jeffrey M. Trissell, SBN 292480 LIMANDRI & JONNA LLP P.O. Box 9120	Harmeet K. Dhillon (SBN: 207873) Mark P. Meuser (SBN: 231335) Gregory R. Michael (SBN: 306814) DHILLON LAW GROUP INC. 177 Post Street, Suite 700
5	Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067 Telephone: (858) 759-9930	San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 433-1700
,	Facsimile: (858) 759-9938	Facsimile: (415) 520-6593
6 7	cslimandri@limandri.com pjonna@limandri.com	harmeet@dhillonlaw.com mmeuser@dhillonlaw.com
•	jtrissell@limandri.com	gmichael@dhillonlaw.com
8	Thomas Brejcha, pro hac vice*	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9	Peter Breen, pro hac vice*	- 11000111 <b>0</b>
10	THOMAS MORE SOCIETY 309 W. Washington St., Ste. 1250	
11	Chicago, IL 60606	
12	Tel: (312) 782-1680 tbrejcha@thomasmoresociety.org	
13	pbreen@thomasmorsociety.org	
14	*Application forthcoming	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	SOUTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
19	SOUTH BAY UNITED	Case No.: 3:20-cv-865-BAS
20	PENTECOSTAL CHURCH, a California nonprofit corporation, and BISHOP	Notice of Motion and Renewed
21	ARTHUR HODGES III, an individual,	Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order / Preliminary
22	Plaintiffs,	Injunction
23	v.	Judge: Cynthia Bashant
24	GAVIN NEWSOM, in his official capacity	Dept: Courtroom: 4B Date: TBD
25	as the Governor of California, et al.,	Time: No Oral Argument Unless
26	Defendants.	Requested by the Court
27		ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED
28		

## TO: THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs South Bay United Pentecostal Church and Bishop Arthur Hodges III, by and through counsel, will and hereby do renew their motion for a temporary restraining order / preliminary injunction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b), seeking an order enjoining Defendants Gavin Newsom, in his official capacity as the Governor of California; Xavier Becerra, in his official capacity as the Attorney General of California, Sonia Angell, in her official capacity as California Public Health Officer, Wilma J. Wooten, in her official capacity as Public Health Officer, County of San Diego, Helen Robbins-Meyer, in her official capacity as Director of Emergency Services, and William D. Gore, in his official capacity as Sheriff of the County of San Diego ("Defendants"), as follows:

Defendants, their agents, employees, and successors in office, are restrained and enjoined from enforcing, trying to enforce, threatening to enforce, or otherwise requiring compliance with:

- 1. any industry-specific standards for Places of Worship that do not apply to other industries;
- 2. any government order treating Places of Worship dissimilarly from shopping (whether deemed by California to be essential or non-essential shopping), restaurants, factories, and protests; and
- 3. any prohibition on indoor worship services, including singing or chanting during such worship services.

This Motion is made on the grounds that Plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of this case, they will suffer irreparable harm without injunctive relief, the balance of equities tips sharply in their favor, and the relief sought is in the public interest.

Good cause exists to issue the requested Order to preserve Plaintiffs' rights under the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of

1	California, and to avoid irreparable harm to those rights. This Motion is supported by	
2	the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities; by the declarations of	
3	Plaintiff Bishop Arthur Hodges III, Attorney Jeffrey M. Trissell, Esq., and experts	
4	Dr. Charles Cicchetti, Dr. James Lyons-Weiler, Sean G. Kaufmann, Dr. George	
5	Delgado, and Dr. Jayanta Bhattacharya, and all exhibits attached thereto; by the	
6	Verified Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. 47); by the prior briefing (Dkt. 12, 29, 36,	
7	45); by the prior declarations of Bishop Hodges (Dkt. 12-2, 45-2); by the prior	
8	declaration of Dr. Delgado (Dkt. 12-3); by the prior attorney declarations with	
9	exhibits (Dkt. 12-4, 45-3); by the prior requests for judicial notice (Dkt. 13, 21, 45-4);	
10	and by such further argument and evidence that may be adduced at any hearing on	
11	this matter or of which the Court may take judicial notice.	
12	Plaintiffs request that the Court waive any bond requirement, because	
13	enjoining Defendants from unconstitutionally prohibiting religious practices will not	
14	financially affect Defendants.	
15		
16	LiMANDRI & JONNA LLP	
17		
18	Dated: August 10, 2020 By:	
19	Charles S. LiMandri Paul M. Jonna	
20	Jeffrey M. Trissell	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	